

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/658,463	09/08/2000	Kiyohide Satoh	2355.12108	3618	
5514	7590 06/16/2003	•			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFE NEW YORK,	CLLER PLAZA NY 10112		WANG, JIN CHENG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2672	7	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/658,463	SATOH ET AL.				
·	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Jin-Cheng Wang	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) M they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exan	niner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment after FINAL to claim 1 and similar claims recites the new limitation of "display means for displaying to the player the augmented reality video viewed from the player's viewpoint position on a screen of a player's display apparatus independently from said predetermined display apparatus" in an augmented reality presentation apparatus for superimposing a virtual object in a real space and therefor it would require further consideration and/or search for the prior art because this limitation was not present in any of the original claims and the previously amended claims.

MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600